Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/683,338	YAGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>response to Non-Final</u>		
(1) <u>Kambiz Zand</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David F. Yager</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2005	Time: <u>2:45 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102		
Claims discussed: 1-20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
W. Trad		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant agreed on the following points in order for the claims to be allowable over prior art:

- 1- All modification of the claims are done by Examiner amendment.
- 2- Independent claims 1 and 15 are amended to incorporate the critical feature of the invention "the the database and said access control device are not electrically connected and have security code activities that are synchronized, wherein the database and said access control device operate independently" into the claims language.
- 3- Independent claim 1 further is amended to incorporate limitations set forth in dependent claim 12.
- 4- Claims 18-20 has been re-numbered as claims 18-19 by mistake. Examiner would amend claims in order to correct the numbering of the claims.
- 5- Claim 12 would be cancelled by Examiner amendment since the limitations are incorporatede into independent claim 1.
- 6- Applicant agreed further, that Examiner amendment to the claims 1-20 are permisseble in order to correct any typo errors, 101 and 112 issues, gramatical errors and defective claims language format.